Start Date:		Date to be returned										
Name of Committee Chair: Manuscript Title:		Committee Member 1			Committee Member 2							
				Dr. Michele Rice								
Place a	check on items that n	eeded to be addressed more thor	horoughly. Then, rate each of the foll	following sections by circling a response for each criterion, 1=Does Not Meet Expectations				criterion, or				
mark r	not applicable (NA):	3=Exceeds Expectations;	2=Meets Expectations;									
After filling out the checklist and rating, please attach a fair explanation of your evaluation and provide constructive feedback to the author. Abstract:												
Abstra	Statement of the problem and why it is important to address it is clear.					1	NA					
_				3	2	1	NA					
	 Research method used to study the problem is explained. A brief explanation of theories to support research is provided. 			3	2 2 2	1 1	NA					
				3			NA					
					2	1	NA					
Introd	uction/Statement of the											
					2	1	NA					
	1				2	1	NA					
					2 2	1	NA					
	Relevance/significance of topic: a brief explanation of theories to support the research is prov				2	1	NA					
	The position you are taking is explicitly stated.			3	2	1	NA					
	Discuss the specific part you studying/researching.			3	2	1	NA					
		provided (for quantitative studies)		3	2	1	NA					
	A hypothesis or proble	em statement (either in introductio	n or literature review section).	3	2	1	NA					
Literat	ture Review:											
	Historical issues or ba	ckground on topic.		3	2	1	NA					
	How others have conc	eptualized the problem is addresse	ed.	3	2	1	NA					
	Previous research, sig	nificant findings, relevant or curre	nt studies are discussed.	3	2	1	NA					
	Factors/variables that	explain problem are introduced.		3	2	1	NA					
	Theories used to expla	ain the problem are examined.		3	2	1	NA					
	What is lacking and h	as not been significantly researche	d is addressed.	3	2	1	NA					
Resear	ch Questions:											
		raph with a statement of purpose i		3	2	1	NA					
		research objectives (for qualitative	e/inductive process) are stated.	3	2	1	NA					
	Hypotheses are formu	lated (for quantitative studies).		3	2	1	NA					

Rubric developed by Claire Michele Rice. Research method contents adapted from Mary Williams (2003), "Notes on Senior Project," Florida Memorial University, Miami, FL; Neil J. Salkind. *Exploring Research*, 5th Edition (2003), Pearson/Prentice Hall. Adopted for journal review process by Florida Education Fund (2005).

Metho	dology:					
Data collection techniques (field research, participant observation, survey, experiment, etc)				1	NA	
	Participants/subjects recruitment, sample size, type of sampling used are appropriate.	3 3 3	2 2 2 2 2	1 1 1	NA	
	Operationalization—definition of variables is appropriate				NA	
	Instruments are appropriate (including validity and reliability)				NA	
	Setting, context, research biases are discussed (for qualitative)	3			NA	
				1	NA	
	Research design and timeline are discussed	3	2	1	NA	
Results	s/Findings:					
	Narrative is limited to describing results/findings.	3	2	1	NA	
	For quantitative, relevant numbers and percentages that make up your sample					
	or that describe your variables are accurately stated (descriptive statistics).	3	2	1	NA	
	Data analysis—results/findings are described accurately.	3	2	1	NA	
	Tables/graphs accurately describe and relate to the narrative of the findings/results.	3	2	1	NA	
	Quoted and/or paraphrased statements are used to support summary of findings (qualitative).	3	2	1	NA	
Discuss	sion/Significance of study/Implications/Conclusions:					
	The meaning of results/findings are clearly explained or interpreted.	3	2	1	NA	
	Results and findings are related to literature review on subject matter.	3	2	1	NA NA	
	Conclusions drawn are justified by the results.	3	2	1	NA NA	
	How the study will advance knowledge within your field of study is addressed.	3	2	1	NA NA	
_	Addressing limitations—problems with results/findings are identified and implications assessed.	3	2	1	NA NA	
	Practice-related contributions are discussed.	3	2	1	NA NA	
٥	Implications, questions or directions for future research are addressed.	3	2	1	NA	
	nt/Organization:	2	2		NT A	
	Subtopics for sections are missing/titles of sections are inappropriate.	3	2	1	NA NA	
	Summaries/conclusions at the end of majors sections are provided.	3	2	1	NA NA	
	Paragraphs are organized into coherent themes.	3	2 2	1	NA NA	
	Sections are organized into coherent themes.	3		1	NA	
Gram	nar and Mechanics:					
	Formatting of paper must is consistent with style guidelines.	3	2	1	NA	
	In-text citation is consistent.	3	2	1	NA	
	Documentation in the reference page is correct and consistent.	3	2	1	NA	
	Sentence structure/fragments/run-on sentences/subject & verb agreement errors are addressed	3	2	1	NA	
	Spelling/missing word/word choice errors are addressed	3	2	1	NA	
	Correctness of punctuation is addressed	3	2	1	NA	

Rubric developed by Claire Michele Rice. Research method contents adapted from Mary Williams (2003), "Notes on Senior Project," Florida Memorial University, Miami, FL; Neil J. Salkind. *Exploring Research*, 5th Edition (2003), Pearson/Prentice Hall. Adopted for journal review process by Florida Education Fund (2005).

Comm	ents on content and organization:							
	Some of your ideas are good in that you were able to relate your analysis to the subject matter.							
_	Some of your ideas are good, but you were not able to sufficiently provide clear analyses by connecting definitions/explanations to the subject matter.							
_	Avoid paragraphs of less than 4 sentences by providing a topic sentence and development of ideas.							
_	Break up page-long paragraphs into manageable paragraphs with clear topics.							
_	The paper did not provide sufficient analysis (i.e. connecting theory to explanations of the subject matter)							
_	The conclusion is rushed or did not provide a moral or justification for the paper							
_	The title for the paper is missing/ subtopics for sections are missing/ titles of sections are inappropriate.							
_	The formatting of the paper needs work: titles centered & capitalized/ subtopics flushed left/ indented paragraphs							
_	The paper is limited to a summary of the subject matter.							
_	This paper did not follow the outline for the assignment.							
_	WARNING! Some phrases/ paragraphs have been plagiarized. Please revise, following appropriate APA guidelines for citations.							
	The second secon							
	ents on Grammar and Mechanics:							
	Please use the APA style guide to help you pay close attention to the details of proper in-text citation and referencing of sources—avoid winging it!							
	In formal writing, avoid using "I," "we" (first person), or the general "you"; use third person (he, she, it, one, or the appropriate common nouns).							
	There are punctuation problems in which either the wrong punctuation is used or the appropriate punctuation is missing.							
	Some sentences are much too long; several ideas are jammed into one run-on sentence.							
	Some sentences have no subjects or some are fragments.							
	There are many choppy sentences, which result in confusing statements.							
	Words of titles (except for prepositions/articles) must be capitalized.							
	Dropped quotes: quotes are not properly introduced with signal phrases, explained or punctuated.							
	Subject and verb agreement errors occur.							
	Spelling/ missing word/ word choice errors occur.							
	The reference page is missing/ is not properly formatted.							
Addition	onal Comments:							

Rubric developed by Claire Michele Rice. Research method contents adapted from Mary Williams (2003), "Notes on Senior Project," Florida Memorial University, Miami, FL; Neil J. Salkind. *Exploring Research*, 5th Edition (2003), Pearson/Prentice Hall. Adopted for journal review process by Florida Education Fund (2005).